

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	, CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,400	11/21/2003	Charles Christopher Thorpe	3000177 / 703454-2001	2557	
75	10/08/2004	EXAMINER			
Bingham McC	Cutchen LLP	VAN, QUANG T			
Suite 1800					
Three Embarcae	dero Center	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Francisco,	CA 94111-4067	3742	3742		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Aı	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		$\Lambda \Lambda$
Office Action Summary		1	0/719,400	THORPE ET AL.			
		E	caminer		Art Unit		
		Qı	uang T Van		3742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover s	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	idress -	-
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IN INC. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (b) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	. In no event, however in the statutory minim ply and will expire Sil se the application to b	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).		ation.
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL.		ion is non-final	•			
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.		·	•		e merits	s is
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-58 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn f					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November</u> Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	<u>er 2003</u> is/are: ection to the draw g the coπection i	ving(s) be held in is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.12	-
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents ha y documents ha s of the priority onal Bureau (P	ave been receiv ave been receiv documents hav CT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Applicatio re been received a)).	n No d in this National	Stage	
Attachmen	ot(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ∏ Ir	iterview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice (3) Infor	ce of References Cited (F10-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 c er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u> .		5) 🔲 N	aper No(s)/Mail Dat		O-152)	

Art Unit: 3742

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the reference number "111" shown in Fig.
 has a typo error and should be changed to "112".

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "steam 202" recited in specification, page 6, lines 8 and 21 is not shown in drawings. It is suggest to change "steam 202" to "steam 205", which is shown in Fig.2A. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities: "the upper housing section **111**" and lower housing section **112**" recited on page 8, line 1, line 18 and line 23 have a typo error and should be changed to "the upper housing section **112**"

Art Unit: 3742

and "lower housing section **111**" for consistency throughout the specification and with the drawings. Correction is required.

4. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities: "additives **225**" recited on page 13 has a typo error and should be changed to "additives **220**". Correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In this case, claim 5 is depended to itself.

<u>NOTE</u>: For purpose of examination, it is presumed claim 5 is depended to independent claim 1.

6. Claim 30 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In this case, the term "said ingredient comprising a gelatinous ingredient:" recited in lines 1-2, is not further limit the "gelatinous ingredient" which is already claimed in a previous claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 39-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 39, "said vent," recited in line 15 is indefinite because it lacks antecedent basis in the claim. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 14-15, 18-19, 23, 27-31, 39-42, 45, 47, 49-50, 53, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Housley (US 5,988,045). Housley discloses, figure 1, a utensil supporting multiple cooking environments for preparing foods comprising a microwaveable housing (10) having a lower housing section (12) and an upper housing section (40); a support member (22a, 22b, 22c) in said lower housing section (12); a grill surface (32) for supporting the food item thereon, said grill surface (32) defining a plurality apertures (36) and being placed on said support member (22a, 22b, 22c); and gelatinous ingredient (col. 5, lines 60-65); said gelatinous ingredient being located in said lower housing section (12) and below said

Art Unit: 3742

grill surface (32) so that steam from heating said gelatinous ingredient is applied to the food item through the apertures of said grill surface (col. 6, lines 50-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 3, 20-22, 43-44, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Housley (US 5,988,045) in view of Koochaki (US 6,229,131). Housley discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except a housing including a vent. Koochaki discloses a microwave-cooking grill (100) having a housing including a vent (186). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Housley a housing including a vent as taught by Koochaki in order to release the steam from the cooking housing.
- 13. Claims 4, 24-26, 46, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Housley (US 5,988,045) in view of Levinson (US 4,923,704). Housley discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except said grill surface being coated with a metalized susceptor material. Levinson discloses a grill surface being coated with a metalized susceptor material (col. 4, lines 4-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Housley a grill surface being coated with a metalized susceptor

Art Unit: 3742

material as taught by Levinson in order to absorb the microwave energy and also delivery the microwave energy to the cooking food.

- 14. Claims 16-17, 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Housley (US 5,988,045) in view of Barnes (US 6,608,292). Housley discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except a connector that couples said lower and upper microwave housing sections. Barnes discloses a connector (212) that couples said lower (104) and upper microwave housing sections (102). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Housley a connector that couples said lower and upper microwave housing sections as taught by Barnes in order to connect the upper and the lower housing section together.
- 15. Claims 7-13, 32-38 and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Housley (US 5,988,045) in view of Choy et al (GB 2308465A). Housley discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except the flavoring comprising a charcoal flavoring. Choy discloses the flavoring comprising a charcoal flavoring (page 1, lines 7-12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Housley the flavoring comprising a charcoal flavoring as taught by Choy in order to suit the user taste. With regard to a beef flavoring, a lemon flavoring, and the ingredient including an aroma, a coloring for the food item; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to apply different flavoring ingredients to the cooking items. Doing so would please to each person taste.

Art Unit: 3742

16. Claim 3, 20-22, 43-44, 48, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Housley (US 5,988,045) in view of Craft (US 6,018,157). Housley discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except a housing including vent. Craft discloses a housing (14) including vent (18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Housley a housing including a vent as taught by Craft in order to release the steam from the cooking housing.

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Boutte (US 6,467,399) discloses a meat and poultry roaster. Gremillion (US 6,192,792) discloses an apparatus for cooking reduced fat poultry or meat.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 703-306-9162. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QV

October 4, 2004

Quang T Van Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742